arXiv:1603.05995v1 [math.GR] 18 Mar 2016

Diffeomorphism groups of compact convex sets

Helge Glöckner and Karl-Hermann Neeb

Abstract

For $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a compact convex subset with non-empty interior, let $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ be the group of all C^∞-diffeomorphisms of K which fix ∂K pointwise. We show that $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is a C^0-regular infinite-dimensional Lie group. As a byproduct, we obtain results concerning solutions to ordinary differential equations on compact convex sets.

Classification: 22E65 (primary); 34A12 (secondary)

Key words: compact convex set, diffeomorphism group, Lie group, flow, regularity, time-dependent vector field, initial value problem, existence, non-open set, Picard iteration, dependence on parameters, inverse function

Introduction and statement of the main results

Lie groups of smooth diffeomorphisms of compact manifolds (like the diffeomorphism group $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ of the circle) are among the most prominent and important examples of infinite-dimensional Lie groups (see, e.g., [16], [18], [20], [22], [26]; cf. [27]). A Lie group structure on $\mathrm{Diff}(K)$ even is available if K is a compact manifold with boundary or corners [21]; this includes the case that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex polyhedron.[1] In this article, we describe Lie groups of diffeomorphisms of an arbitrary compact convex subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior (whose boundary ∂K need not satisfy any regularity assumptions). To explain the result, let us call a map $\gamma\colon K \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *smooth* if it is continuous, its restriction $\gamma|_{K^0}$ to the interior of K is smooth, and all iterated directional derivatives on K^0 admit continuous extensions to all of K (see 1.3 for details). We write $\mathrm{Diff}(K)$ for the group of all smooth diffeomorphisms of K, i.e., bijections $\phi\colon K \to K$ such that both ϕ and ϕ^{-1}

[1] Diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds can also be treated; their Lie group structures are modelled only on Lie algebras of compactly supported smooth vector fields. For Lie groups of real analytic diffeomorphisms of real analytic, compact manifolds with or without boundary or corners, cf. [6], [7], and [18].

are smooth in the preceding sense. We endow the space $C^\infty(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all smooth $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued mappings on K with the smooth compact-open topology (as recalled in 1.4), which makes it a Fréchet space (see [15], cf. [2]). Then also the closed vector subspace

$$C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,\mathbb{R}^n) := \{\eta \in C^\infty(K,\mathbb{R}^n)\colon \eta|_{\partial K} = 0\}$$

of $C^\infty(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet space. Now

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) := \{\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(K)\colon\ (\forall x \in \partial K)\colon \phi(x) = x\}$$

is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(K)$. We show that

$$\Omega := \{\phi - \mathrm{id}_K\colon \phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)\}$$

is an open 0-neighbourhood in $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Section 3), enabling us to consider $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ as a smooth manifold modelled on $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \to \Omega, \quad \phi \mapsto \phi - \mathrm{id}_K$$

as a global chart. As our main result, we obtain (see Sections 4, 5 and 6):

Theorem A. $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ *is a* C^0*-regular Lie group.*

Recall that, if G is a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space E, with multiplication $\mu\colon G \times G \to G$, then the tangent map $T\mu\colon T(G \times G) \cong TG \times TG \to TG$ restricts to a smooth right action

$$TG \times G \to TG, \quad (v,g) \mapsto v.g$$

(identifying G with the zero-section in TG). Let $\mathfrak{g} := L(G) := T_{\mathbf{e}}G \cong E$ be the Lie algebra of G (the tangent space at the neutral element $\mathbf{e}$). The Lie group G is called C^0*-regular* if for each $\gamma \in C([0,1],\mathfrak{g})$, there is a (necessarily unique) C^1-curve $\mathrm{Evol}^r(\gamma) := \eta\colon [0,1] \to G$ such that $\eta(0) = \mathbf{e}$ and

$$\eta'(t) = \gamma(t).\eta(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,1],$$

and moreover the map

$$\mathrm{evol}^r\colon C([0,1],\mathfrak{g}) \to G, \quad \gamma \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}^r(\gamma)(1)$$

is smooth (using the compact-open topology on the left); cf. [5], [13], [23].

If G is C^0-regular, then G is *regular* (i.e., it has the analogous property with $C^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{g})$ in place of $C([0,1],\mathfrak{g})$). Regularity is a central concept in infinite-dimensional Lie theory, and needed as a hypotheses in many results of this theory. We refer to [22] and [23] for more information (cf. also [18]). Proofs for regularity properties of diffeomorphism groups can be found, e.g., in [16], [18], [19], [22], [28], and [29].

Previously, mappings of the form $\phi \mapsto \phi - \mathrm{id}$ have been used as a global chart for the Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of compactly supported smooth diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ [10], for certain weighted diffeomorphism groups of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (like Lie groups of rapidly decreasing diffeomorphisms) [29], and for further more specialized diffeomorphism groups [19].

Compared to classical discussions of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ for a manifold M, we encounter the difficulty that neither the inverse function theorem, nor the implicit function theorem, nor smooth dependence of fixed points on parameters is readily available in the literature for mappings on sufficiently general non-open sets (like K). We therefore have to develop such tools as far as required for our purposes. We also have to develop a theory of ordinary differential equations on K, as the C^0-regularity of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is closely linked to flows of differential equations on K. In particular, we find that integral curves for smooth time-dependent vector fields on K behave as nicely as in the classical case of a compact smooth manifold without boundary, as long as the vector fields vanish on ∂K (see Section 7):

Theorem B. *Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate interval, $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a compact convex set with non-empty interior, $P \subseteq F$ be a convex subset with non-empty interior in a locally convex space F, and*

$$f\colon P \times J \times K \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

be a smooth function such that $f(p,t,x) = 0$ for all $p \in P$, $t \in J$ and $x \in \partial K$. Then the initial value problem

$$y'(t) = f(p,t,y(t)), \quad y(t_0) = x_0$$

has a unique solution $y_{p,t_0,x_0}\colon J \to K$ defined on all of J, for all $p \in P$, $t_0 \in J$, and $x_0 \in K$. The associated flow

$$P \times J \times J \times K \to K, \quad (p,t_0,t,x_0) \mapsto y_{p,t_0,x_0}(t)$$

is smooth.

Beyond $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$, for K as before and $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we consider the group $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ of all C^r-diffeomorphisms $\phi\colon K \to K$ with $\phi|_{\partial K} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial K}$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we make $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ a smooth Banach manifold with a global chart and show that it is a topological group and has smooth right translations

$$\rho_\phi\colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K), \quad \psi \mapsto \psi \circ \phi$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$. Moreover, for all $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the composition map

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \times \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K), \ \ (\psi, \phi) \mapsto \psi \circ \phi$$

and the inversion map

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi^{-1}$$

are C^s (see Sections 3, 4, and 5). Such refined information was basic in the ILB-approach to infinite-dimensional Lie groups (see [26] and the references therein). We shall also see that the map

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \times K \to K, \quad (\phi, y) \mapsto \phi^{-1}(y) \tag{1}$$

is C^r, as a special case of an inverse function theorem with parameters:

Theorem C. *Let F be a locally convex space, $U \subseteq F$ be a convex subset with non-empty interior, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f\colon U \times K \to K$ be a C^r-map such that $f_z := f(z, \bullet) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ for all $z \in U$. Then also the following map is C^r:*

$$g\colon U \times K \to K, \quad (z, y) \mapsto (f_z)^{-1}(y).$$

For K as before, let $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{flat}}(K)$ be the group of all $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ such that not only $\phi - \mathrm{id}_K$, but also all derivatives of this mapping vanish on ∂K. In Section 8, we show:

Theorem D. $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{flat}}(K)$ *is a C^0-regular Lie subgroup of* $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$.

As a special case of our considerations, we obtain a C^0-regular smooth Lie group structure on the index 2 subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+ := \mathrm{Diff}_{\{0,1\}}([0,1]) \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}([0,1])$ of all orientation-preserving smooth diffeomorphisms of $[0,1]$. It

is now easy to make also $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])$ a Lie group with $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+$ as an open submanifold.[2] The Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+$ is also of pedagogical interest, as it is the diffeomorphism group whose Lie group structure is most easily obtained, using only a minimum of analysis and geometry (already $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{S}^1)_+$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_c(\mathbb{R})$ are more complicated to discuss). The paper places this construction in a larger context and provides relevant, more difficult additional information (like C^0-regularity).

Note that the Lie group structure on $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{flat}}(K)$ (but not on $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$) can be obtained in an alternative fashion, as follows. Consider the vector subspace $C^\infty_{\mathrm{flat}}(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all $\gamma\colon K\to\mathbb{R}^n$ in $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that also all derivatives of γ vanish on ∂K. Then γ extends via 0 to a smooth map $\widetilde{\gamma}\colon \mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ (see, e.g., [25, Proposition 3.32]) which is an element of the weighted function space $C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ (as defined in [29]), when the set of weights is chosen as $\mathcal{W}:=\{1,\infty\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus K}\}$ where 1 is the constant function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with value 1 and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus K}$ is the characteristic function (indicator function) of the subset $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus K$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is clear that the map

$$C^\infty_{\mathrm{flat}}(K,\mathbb{R}^n)\to C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n),\quad \gamma\mapsto\widetilde{\gamma}$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces, whence

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{flat}}(K)\to\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\quad \mathrm{id}_K+\gamma\mapsto\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\widetilde{\gamma}$$

is an isomorphism of Lie groups, where $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a special case of the weighted diffeomorphism groups

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{W}}(E):=\{\phi\in\mathrm{Diff}(E)\colon \phi-\mathrm{id}_E,\phi^{-1}-\mathrm{id}_E\in C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(E,E)\}$$

constructed in [29], for E a real Banach space and $\mathcal{W}$ a set of functions $f\colon E\to\mathbb{R}\cup\{\pm\infty\}$ which contains the constant function 1 on E. We mention that [29] only establishes regularity for weighted diffeomorphism groups, not C^0-regularity.

[2] Since $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])$ is generated by $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+$ and the diffeomorphism $I\colon[0,1]\to[0,1]$, $x\mapsto 1-x$, it suffices to show that the map $\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+\to\mathrm{Diff}([0,1])_+$, $\phi\mapsto I\circ\phi\circ I$ (with $I=I^{-1}$) is smooth, or equivalently, that the map $\Omega\to\Omega$, $\gamma\mapsto I\circ(\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]}+\gamma)\circ I-\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]}=:\eta$ is smooth. But $\eta=-\gamma\circ I=-I^*(\gamma)$ is the negative of the pullback of γ along I, where I^* a continuous linear (and hence smooth) self-map of $C^\infty_{\{0,1\}}([0,1])$ (see [15], cf. [9]).

1 Preliminaries and notation

We write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. All locally convex (topological real vector) spaces and all compact topological spaces are assumed Hausdorff. We write $\mathrm{graph}(f) := \{(x, f(x)) \colon x \in X\} \subseteq X \times Y$ for the graph of a function $f \colon X \to Y$. If $f \colon X \to Y$ is a function between metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y), we define

$$\mathrm{Lip}(f) := \sup \left\{ \frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x, y)} \colon x \neq y \in X \right\} \in [0, \infty]$$

and call f *Lipschitz* if $\mathrm{Lip}(f) < \infty$. If $(E, \|.\|)$ is a Banach space, we write $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ for the group of continuous automorphisms of the vector space E. For $x \in E$ and $r > 0$, we write $B_r^E(x) := \{y \in E \colon \|y - x\| < r\}$ and $\overline{B}_r^E(x) := \{y \in E \colon \|y - x\| \leq r\}$. A subset U of a locally convex space E is called *locally convex* if, for each $x \in U$, there exists a convex neighbourhood of x in U (with respect to the induced topology). If q is a continuous seminorm on E, we write $\overline{B}_r^q(0) := \{x \in E \colon q(x) \leq r\}$ for $r > 0$. Given locally convex spaces E and F, we write $\mathcal{L}(E, F)_b$ for the space of continuous linear mappings from E to F, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. We abbreviate $\mathcal{L}(E) := \mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

We shall use a setting of C^r-maps between open subsets of locally convex spaces which goes back to A. Bastiani [3] and is also known as Keller's C_c^r-theory. See [8], [15], [16], [21] and [22] for streamlined introductions, cf. also [4]. For a discussion of C^r-maps on non-open domains (as in 1.3), see [15].

1.1 If E and F are locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ is open and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, then a map $f \colon U \to F$ is called C^r if it is continuous, the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^{(k)} f(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) := (D_{y_k} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq r$, all points $x \in U$ and all directions $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E$, and the maps $d^{(k)} f \colon U \times E^k \to F$ so obtained are continuous. If $r \geq 1$, then a map f as before is C^r if and only if f is C^1 and $df := d^{(1)} f \colon U \times E \to F$ is C^{r-1} (see, e.g., [8] or [15]).

1.2 (Rule on partial differentials). If E, F, and H are locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ and $V \subseteq F$ open subsets and $f \colon U \times V \to H$ a continuous map, then f is C^1 if and only if the directional derivatives

$$d_1 f(x, y; x_1) := (D_{(x_1, 0)} f)(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 f(x, y; y_1) := (D_{(0, y_1)} f)(x, y)$$

exist for all $x \in U$, $y \in V$, $x_1 \in E$ and $y_1 \in F$, and define continuous functions $d_1 f\colon U \times V \times E \to H$ and $d_2 f\colon U \times V \times F \to H$. In this case,

$$df((x,y),(x_1,y_1)) = d_1 f(x,y;x_1) + d_2 f(x,y;y_1)$$

for all $(x,y) \in U \times V$ and $(x_1,y_1) \in E \times F$ (see [8]).

1.3 If U is replaced with a locally convex subset $U \subseteq E$ with dense interior U^0 in 1.1, then a map $f\colon U \to F$ is called C^r if f is continuous, $f|_{U^0}$ is C^r and $d^{(k)}(f|_{U^0})\colon U^0 \times E^k \to F$ has a (necessarily unique) continuous extension $d^{(k)} f\colon U \times E^k \to F$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq r$. Then

$$f^{(k)}(x) := d^{(k)} f(x,\bullet)\colon E^k \to F$$

is a continuous symmetric k-linear map, for each k as before and $x \in U$ (see [15]). We abbreviate $df := d^{(1)} f$ and $f'(x) := f^{(1)}(x) = df(x,\bullet)$, which is a continuous linear map from E to F.

1.4 In the preceding situation, we endow the space $C^r(U,F)$ of all C^r-maps $f\colon U \to F$ with the so-called *compact-open C^r-topology*, i.e., the initial topology with respect to the linear maps

$$C^r(U,F) \to C(U \times E^k, F)_{c.o.}, \quad f \mapsto d^{(k)} f$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq r$ (with $d^{(0)} f := f$), where the spaces on the right hand side are endowed with the compact-open topology.

1.5 If $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a non-degenerate interval and $\gamma\colon J \to E$ a C^1-curve, as usual we write $\gamma'(t) := \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = (D_1\gamma)(t) \in E$ for $t \in J$; no confusion with $\gamma'\colon J \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R},E)$ should arise (as the meaning will be clear from the context).

1.6 Let E, F, and H be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ and $V \subseteq F$ be locally convex subsets with dense interior, $r,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f\colon U \times V \to H$ be a map (more generally, if $r = 0$, then U can be any topological space). Following [1] and [2], f is called a $C^{r,s}$-map if f is continuous, the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^{(k,\ell)} f(x,y,x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1,\ldots,y_\ell) := (D_{(x_k,0)} \cdots D_{(x_1,0)} D_{(0,y_\ell)} \cdots D_{(0,y_1)} f)(x,y)$$

exist for all $k,\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq r$ and $\ell \leq s$ and all $(x,y) \in U^0 \times V^0$, $x_1,\ldots,x_k \in E$ and $y_1,\ldots,y_\ell \in F$, and admit continuous extensions

$$d^{(k,\ell)} f\colon U \times V \times E^k \times F^\ell \to H.$$

We shall frequently use the following *exponential law*: If $f\colon U \times V \to H$ is $C^{r,s}$, then $f^\vee(x) := f(x,\bullet) \in C^s(V,H)$ for all $x \in U$, and the map

$$f^\vee\colon U \to C^s(V,H), \quad x \mapsto f(x,\bullet)$$

is C^r [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]. If V is, moreover, locally compact and a function $g\colon U \to C^s(V,E)$ is C^r, then

$$\widehat{g}\colon U \times V \to H, \quad \widehat{g}(x,y) := g(x)(y)$$

is $C^{r,s}$ [2, Theorem 3.28 (a)]. An analogous definition of $C^{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}$-maps on n-fold direct products is possible for $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, and again an exponential law is available (see [1]).

Vector-valued integrals depend continuously on parameters (see, e.g., [15]).

1.7 Let U be a topological space, E be a locally convex space and $f\colon U \times [a,b] \to E$ be a continuous map such that the weak integral

$$g(x) := \int_a^b f(x,t)\,dt$$

exists in E for each $x \in U$. Then $g\colon U \to E$ is continuous.

1.8 If K is a compact topological space and $(F, \|.\|_F)$ a Banach space, we write $\|.\|_\infty$ for the supremum norm on $C(K,F)$ given by

$$\|\gamma\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in K} \|\gamma(x)\|_F \quad \text{for } \gamma \in C(K,F),$$

which defines the compact-open topology on $C(K,F)$.

If $(F, \|.\|_F) = (\mathcal{L}(E_1,E_2), \|.\|_{op})$ is a space of continuous linear maps between two Banach spaces $(E_1, \|.\|_1)$ and $(E_2, \|.\|_2)$ with the operator norm, we write $\|\gamma\|_{\infty,op}$ instead of $\|.\|_\infty$, for emphasis.

If F is a locally convex space and q a continuous seminorm on F, we define a seminorm $\|.\|_{\infty,q}$ on $C(K,F)$ via

$$\|\gamma\|_{\infty,q} := \sup_{x\in K} q(\gamma(x)).$$

The compact-open topology on $C(K,F)$ is defined by the set of all $\|.\|_{\infty,q}$.

1.9 If $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K \subseteq E$ is a compact convex subset with non-empty interior, then the map

$$D\colon C^1(K,E) \to C(K, \mathcal{L}(E)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma'$$

is continuous linear. This follows from the observation that

$$C^1(K,E) \to [0,\infty[\,, \quad \gamma \mapsto \|\gamma'\|_{\infty,op} = \sup_{(x,y)\in L} \|d\gamma(x,y)\|$$

is a continuous seminorm on $C^1(K,E)$ since $C^1(K,E) \to C(K \times E, E)$, $\gamma \mapsto d\gamma$ is a continuous linear map and $L := K \times \overline{B}_1^R(0)$ is compact.

Lemma 1.10 *Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ be a locally convex subset with dense interior and $f\colon U \to F$ be a C^2-map. Then the map $f'\colon U \to \mathcal{L}(E,F)_b$, $x \mapsto f'(x) = df(x,\bullet)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let q be a continuous seminorm on F and $B \subseteq E$ be a bounded set. By continuity of $d^{(2)}f\colon U \times E \times E \to F$, there is a convex neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of x and a continuous seminorm p on E such that

$$d^{(2)}f(V \times \overline{B}_1^p(0) \times \overline{B}_1^p(0)) \subseteq \overline{B}_1^q(0).$$

Then $rB \subseteq \overline{B}_1^p(0)$ for some $r > 0$. After shrinking V, we may assume that $V - x \subseteq \overline{B}_r^p(0)$. For all $y \in V$ and $b \in B$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} q((f'(y) - f'(x))(b)) &= q(df(y,b) - df(x,b)) \\ &= q\left(\int_0^1 d^{(2)}f(x + t(y-x), b, y-x)\, dt\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \underbrace{q\Big(d^{(2)}f\Big(x + t(y-x), rb, \frac{1}{r}(y-x)\Big)\Big)}_{\leq 1}\, dt \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus $(f'(y) - f'(x))(B) \subseteq \overline{B}_1^q(0)$. □

1.11 If K is a compact convex subset of $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior and $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we let $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ be the group of all C^r-diffeomorphisms ϕ of K such that $\phi|_{\partial K} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial K}$. We let $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E) \subseteq C^r(K,E)$ be the closed vector subspace of all $\gamma \in C^r(K,E)$ such that $\gamma|_{\partial K} = 0$. We abbreviate $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) := \mathrm{Diff}^{C^\infty}_{\partial K}(K)$.

2 Auxiliary results

The following fact is well-known; the simple proof is recalled in Appendix A.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $f\colon X \to K$ be a map from a topological space X to a Hausdorff topological space K.*

(a) *If f is continuous, then its graph* $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ *is closed in $X \times K$.*

(b) *If K is compact, then f is continuous if and only if* $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ *is closed.*

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a topological space, K a compact topological space and $f\colon X \times K \to K$ be a continuous mapping such that*

$$f_x := f(x, \bullet)\colon K \to K, \quad y \mapsto f(x, y)$$

is bijective for each $x \in K$. Then the map

$$g\colon X \times K \to K, \quad (x, z) \mapsto (f_x)^{-1}(z)$$

is continuous and $h\colon X \times K \to X \times K$, $(x, y) \mapsto (x, f(x, y))$ a homeomorphism.

Proof. Because f is continuous, $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ is closed in $X \times K \times K$ (see Lemma 2.1). Since

$$\sigma\colon X \times K \times K \to X \times K \times K, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z, y)$$

is a homeomorphism, also $\mathrm{graph}(g) = \sigma(\mathrm{graph}(f))$ is closed in $X \times K \times K$. Hence g is continuous, by Lemma 2.1 (b). It is immediate from the definition that the continuous map h is a bijection with inverse

$$h^{-1}(x, z) = (x, (f_x)^{-1}(z)) = (x, g(x, z)).$$

As g is continuous, h^{-1} is continuous and thus h is a homeomorphism. □

The following lemma generalizes a special case stated in [16, Theorem 5.3.1].

Lemma 2.3 *Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ a locally convex subset with dense interior, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and*

$$f\colon U \times F \to F$$

be a C^k-map such that $f_x := f(x, \bullet)\colon F \to F$ is linear and bijective for each $x \in U$ and the map

$$g\colon U \times F \to F, \quad g(x,z) := (f_x)^{-1}(z)$$

is continuous. Then g is C^k.

Proof. Since $g(x, \bullet)$ is continuous linear for $x \in U^0$, we have

$$d_2 g(x,z;w) = g(x,w)$$

for all $x \in U^0$, $z, w \in F$, and the same formula defines a continuous extension for $(x,z,w) \in U \times F \times F$. Let $x \in U^0$, $y \in E$, $z \in F$ and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x + ty \in U^0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_x\left(\frac{g(x+ty,z) - g(x,z)}{t}\right) &= \frac{f_x(g(x+ty,z)) - z}{t} \qquad (2)\\ &= \frac{(f_x - f_{x+ty})(g(x+ty,z))}{t} \\ &= -\int_0^1 d_1 f(x+sty, g(x+ty,z); y)\, ds, \qquad (3) \end{aligned}$$

using that $f_x(g(x,z)) = z = f_{x+ty}(g(x+ty,z))$. By 1.7, the integral in (3) converges to $-\int_0^1 d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y)\, ds = -d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y)$ as $t \to 0$. Applying now the continuous linear map $(f_x)^{-1}$ to (2) and (3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(x+ty,z) - g(x,z)}{t} &\to -(f_x)^{-1}(d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y)) \\ &= -g(x, d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y)) \end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$. Thus $d_1 g(x,z;y) = g(x, d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y))$ and the same formula defines a continuous F-valued function of $(x,z,y) \in U \times F \times E$. Using 1.2, we find that g is C^1, with

$$dg(x,z,y,w) = d_1 g(x,z;y) + d_2 g(x,z;w) = g(x,w) - g(x, d_1 f(x, g(x,z); y))$$

for $(x,z,y,w) \in U \times F \times E \times F$. Now if g is C^{k-1} by induction, then the preceding formula shows that also dg is C^{k-1}, and thus g is C^k. □

We shall use a result on the parameter-dependence of fixed points.

Lemma 2.4 *Let E be a locally convex space, $(F, \|.\|)$ a Banach space, $P \subseteq E$ and $V \subseteq F$ be locally convex subsets with dense interior, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and*

$$f\colon P \times V \to F$$

be a C^k-map which defines a "uniform family of contractions" in the sense that $f_p := f(p, \bullet)\colon V \to F$ is Lipschitz for each $p \in P$ with

$$\theta := \sup_{p \in P} \operatorname{Lip}(f_p) \ < 1.$$

Assume that f_p has a (necessarily unique) fixed point x_p for each $p \in P$ and define $\phi\colon P \to V$, $\phi(p) := x_p$. Then the following holds:

(a) *If V is open, then $\phi\colon P \to V$ is C^k.*

(b) *If $\phi\colon P \to V$ is continuous and $k \geq 2$, then ϕ is C^k.*

(c) *If ϕ is continuous, f is C^1 and the map*

$$g\colon P \times V \to (\mathcal{L}(F), \|.\|_{op}), \quad (p, x) \mapsto d_2 f(p, x; \bullet) \tag{4}$$

is continuous, then ϕ is C^1.

Proof. (a) is a special case of [12, Theorem D].

(b) and (c). It suffices to consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\lambda\colon F \to E \times F$, $x \mapsto (0, x)$ be the continuous linear inclusion map. Then the linear mapping

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, F)\colon \mathcal{L}(E \times F, F) \to \mathcal{L}(F, F), \quad A \mapsto A \circ \lambda$$

is continuous. If f is C^2, then the map $g = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, F) \circ f'$ from (4) is continuous as a consequence of Lemma 1.10. We may therefore assume continuity of g now and prove the assertion by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$\|d_2 f(p, x; \bullet)\|_{op} \leq \theta < 1$$

since $\operatorname{Lip}(f_p) \leq \theta$. Hence $\mathrm{id}_F - d_2 f(p, x; \bullet) \in \mathrm{GL}(F)$ for all $(p, x) \in P \times V$. The inversion map $\mathrm{GL}(F) \to \mathrm{GL}(F)$, $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ being continuous, also

$$P \times V \to \mathcal{L}(F), \quad (p, x) \mapsto (\mathrm{id}_F - g(p, x))^{-1}$$

is continuous and hence also the map

$$P \times V \times F \to F, \quad (p, x, z) \mapsto (\mathrm{id}_F - g(p, x))^{-1}(z), \tag{5}$$

as the evaluation map $\mathcal{L}(F) \times F \to F$, $(A, x) \mapsto A(x)$ is continuous. Since

$$h\colon (P \times V) \times F \to F, \quad (p, x, y) \mapsto y - d_2 f(p, x; y)$$

is C^{k-1}, writing $h_{p,x} := h(p, x, \bullet)$ we deduce with Lemma 2.3 that the map

$$\Theta\colon P \times V \times F \to F, \quad (p, x, z) \mapsto (h_{p,x})^{-1}(z)$$

(which coincides with the map in (5)) is C^{k-1}. We know from (a) that $\phi|_{P^0}$ is C^k. Now

$$f(p, \phi(p)) = \phi(p). \tag{6}$$

Using the Chain Rule, we can form the directional derivative at $p \in P^0$ in a direction $q \in E$ on both sides of (6) and obtain the identity

$$d_1 f(p, \phi(p); q) + d_2 f(p, \phi(p); d\phi(p, q)) = d\phi(p, q),$$

which can be solved for $d\phi(p, q)$:

$$d\phi(p, q) = (\mathrm{id}_F - d_2 f(p, \phi(p); \bullet)^{-1}(d_1 f(p, \phi(p); q) = \Theta(p, \phi(p), d_1 f(p, \phi(p); q)).$$

Note that term on the right hand side also defines a continuous F-valued function of $(p, q) \in P \times E$. Hence ϕ is C^1 with

$$d\phi(p, q) = \Theta(p, \phi(p), d_1 f(p, \phi(p); q)).$$

Now if ϕ is C^{k-1} by induction, then the previous identity shows that also $d\phi$ is C^{k-1}, and thus ϕ is C^k. □

3 Submanifold structure and global chart

Let K be a compact convex subset with non-empty interior in $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We show:

Lemma 3.1 $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ *is a smooth submanifold of* $C^r(K, E)$ *modelled on the closed vector subspace* $C^r_{\partial K}(K, E)$ *of* $C^r(K, E)$. *Moreover,* $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ *admits a global chart.*

Proof. The affine vector subspace $\mathrm{id}_K + C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$ is a C^∞-submanifold of $C^r(K,E)$ modelled on the closed vector subspace $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$ of $C^r(K,E)$, as

$$\Psi\colon C^r(K,E) \to C^r(K,E), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi - \mathrm{id}_K$$

is a C^∞-diffeomorphism (and hence a global chart for $C^r(K,E)$) such that

$$\Psi(\mathrm{id}_K + C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)) = C^r_{\partial K}(K,E).$$

We claim that

$$\Omega_r := \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) - \mathrm{id}_K$$

is open in $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$. If this is true, then $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ will be (relatively) open in the submanifold $\mathrm{id}_K + C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$ of $C^r(K,E)$, entailing that also $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ is a submanifold of $C^r(K,E)$ modelled on $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$. Moreover,

$$\Phi_r\colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \Omega_r, \quad \phi \mapsto \phi - \mathrm{id}_K$$

will be a global chart for $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ (establishing the lemma). To prove the claim, let $x_0 \in K^0$. Fix a norm $\|.\|$ on $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and write

$$\|\eta\|_{\infty,op} := \sup_{x\in K} \|\eta(x)\|_{op}$$

for continuous functions $\eta\colon K \to \mathcal{L}(E)$. Let

$$Q_r \subseteq C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$$

be the set of all $\gamma \in C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$ such that $\gamma'(K) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(E) - \mathrm{id}_E$ holds, $x_0 + \gamma(x_0) \in K^0$, and $\mathrm{id}_K + \gamma$ is injective. It is clear that $\phi - \mathrm{id}_K \in Q_r$ for each $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ and thus

$$\Omega_r \subseteq Q_r.$$

To see that $\Omega_r = Q_r$, let $\gamma \in Q_r$. Then $\phi := \mathrm{id}_K + \gamma\colon K \to E$ is injective. We show that $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ (whence $\gamma = \phi - \mathrm{id}_K \in \Omega_r$). Since

$$\phi'(x) = \mathrm{id}_E + \gamma'(x) \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$$

for each $x \in K^0$ and $\phi|_{K^0}$ is injective, we deduce from the inverse function theorem that $\phi(K^0)$ is open in E and $\phi|_{K^0}\colon K^0 \to \phi(K_0)$ is a C^r-diffeomorphism. Since $\gamma|_{\partial K} = 0$, we have $\phi|_{\partial K} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial K}$ and hence

$$\phi(\partial K) = \partial K.$$

As ϕ is injective, this implies that

$$\phi(K^0) \cap \partial K = \emptyset.$$

Therefore K^0 is the disjoint union of the open set $\phi^{-1}(E \setminus K)$ and the open set $\phi^{-1}(K^0)$ which is non-empty as $\phi(x_0) \in K^0$ by definition of Q_r. Since K^0 is convex and hence connected, we deduce that $\phi^{-1}(E \setminus K) = \emptyset$ and hence

$$\phi(K^0) \subseteq K^0.$$

Now $\phi(K^0)$ is open in K^0 but it is also (relatively) closed in K^0 since

$$\phi(K^0) = \phi(K^0) \cup \underbrace{K^0 \cap \phi(\partial K)}_{=\emptyset} = K^0 \cap \phi(K)$$

where $\phi(K)$ is compact and hence closed in E. Since $\phi(K^0)$ is non-empty, the connectedness of K^0 implies that $\phi(K^0) = K^0$. Thus ϕ is surjective and hence ϕ (being also injective) is a bijection. Since K is compact, the continuous bijection $\phi\colon K \to K$ is a homeomorphism. As ϕ is C^r, the map $d\phi\colon K \times E \to E$ is C^{r-1} and hence $C^{r-1,0}$ (cf. [2, Lemma 3.17]), entailing that

$$\phi' = (d\phi)^\vee\colon K \to \mathrm{GL}(E) \subseteq C(E,E)$$

is C^{r-1} (see [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]). We know that

$$\phi^{-1}|_{K^0} = (\phi|_{K^0})^{-1}$$

is C^r and

$$(\phi^{-1})'(y) = (\phi'(\phi^{-1}(y)))^{-1}$$

for each $y \in K^0$. As the inversion map $\mathrm{GL}(E) \to \mathrm{GL}(E)$ is smooth and hence continuous, we deduce that the map

$$\psi\colon K \to \mathrm{GL}(E), \quad y \mapsto (\phi'(\phi^{-1}(y)))^{-1} \tag{7}$$

is continuous. Because the evaluation map $\varepsilon\colon \mathcal{L}(E) \times E \to E$ is continuous bilinear (and thus smooth, for later use), we see that also the map

$$\widehat{\psi}\colon K \times E \to E, \quad (y,z) \mapsto \psi(y)(z) = \varepsilon(\psi(y), z)$$

is continuous. By the preceding, $\widehat{\psi}\colon K \times E \to E$ is a continuous extension of $d(\phi^{-1}|_{K^0})$. Hence ϕ^{-1} is C^1 with $d(\phi^{-1}) = \widehat{\psi}$. If now ϕ^{-1} is C^{k-1} by induction

for an integer $2 \leq k \leq r$, then (7) and the Chain Rule entail that ψ is C^{k-1}. Hence $\widehat{\psi} = d(\phi^{-1})$ is $C^{k-1,0}$ (see [2, Theorem 3.28]), whence $d(\phi^{-1})$ is $C^{k-1,\infty}$ by linearity in the second argument (see [2, Lemma 3.14]). Thus $d(\phi^{-1})$ is C^{k-1}, by [2, Lemma 3.15], entailing that ϕ^{-1} is C^k and thus C^r. Hence $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, as desired.

To see that Ω_r is open, note that the point evaluation $\varepsilon_{x_0}\colon C^r_{\partial K}(K,E) \to E$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(x_0)$ is continuous linear. Moreover, the map

$$C^r(K,E) \to C(K, \mathcal{L}(E)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma'$$

is continuous linear and $\mathrm{GL}(E) - \mathrm{id}_E$ is open in $\mathcal{L}(E)$. Hence

$$U := \{\gamma \in C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)\colon \gamma'(K) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(E) - \mathrm{id}_E \text{ and } \gamma(x_0) \in K^0 - x_0\}$$

is open in $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$. Let $\gamma \in \Omega_r$; then $\phi := \mathrm{id}_K + \gamma \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ and thus

$$a := \mathrm{Lip}(\phi^{-1}) = \|(\phi^{-1})'\|_{\infty,op} < \infty.$$

Now

$$V := \left\{\eta \in U\colon \|\eta' - \gamma'\|_{\infty,op} < \frac{1}{2a}\right\}$$

is an open neighbourhood of γ in U (and hence also in $C^r_{\partial K}(K,E)$). We show that $V \subseteq Q_r = \Omega_r$ (completing the proof that Ω_r is open). The estimate

$$\|y-x\| = \|\phi^{-1}(\phi(y)) - \phi^{-1}(\phi(x))\| \leq \mathrm{Lip}(\phi^{-1})\|\phi(y)-\phi(x)\| = a\|\phi(y)-\phi(x)\|$$

implies that $\|\phi(y) - \phi(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{a}\|y - x\|$ for all $x, y \in K$. For $\eta \in V$ and $x, y \in K$ with $x \neq y$, this entails

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a}\|y-x\| &\leq \|\phi(y) - \phi(x)\| \\
&\leq \|(\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(y) - (\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(x)\| + \|(\eta-\gamma)(y) - (\eta-\gamma)(x)\| \\
&\leq \|(\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(y) - (\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(x)\| + \mathrm{Lip}(\eta-\gamma)\|y-x\| \\
&\leq \|(\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(y) - (\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(x)\| + \frac{1}{2a}\|y-x\|,
\end{aligned}$$

using that $\mathrm{Lip}(\eta - \gamma) = \|\gamma' - \eta'\|_{\infty,op} < \frac{1}{2a}$. Hence

$$\|(\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(y) - (\mathrm{id}_K + \eta)(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{2a}\|y-x\| > 0$$

and thus $x + \eta(x) \neq y + \eta(y)$. Thus $\mathrm{id}_K + \eta$ is injective, whence $\eta \in Q_r$ and hence $V \subseteq Q_r$. $\square$

4 Smoothness of composition

In this section, we establish continuity and differentiability properties of the composition map $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \times \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, and related results.

Lemma 4.1 *Let K be a compact convex subset of $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior, V a closed convex subset of a finite-dimensional vector space E_2 with non-empty interior, and F be a locally convex space. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$,*

$$C^r(K, V) := \{\eta \in C^r(K, E_2) \colon \eta(K) \subseteq V\}$$

is a convex subset of $C^r(K, E_2)$ with non-empty interior and

$$\Gamma \colon C^{r+s}(V, F) \times C^r(K, V) \to C^r(K, F), \quad \Gamma(\gamma, \eta) := \gamma \circ \eta$$

is a C^s-mapping. If $s \geq 1$, then

$$d\Gamma(\gamma, \eta; \gamma_1, \eta_1) = \gamma_1 \circ \eta + d\gamma \circ (\eta, \eta_1) \tag{8}$$

for all $\gamma, \gamma_1 \in C^{r+s}(V, F)$, $\eta \in C^r(K, V)$, and $\eta_1 \in C^r(K, E_2)$. In particular,

$$\mu \colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \times \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K), \quad (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

is C^s, for all $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. For each $\eta \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, the right translation map $\rho_\eta \colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$ is smooth.

Proof. It is clear that $C^r(K, V)$ is convex and $C^r(K, V^0) \subseteq C^r(K, V)^0$. Actually, $C^r(K, V^0) = C^r(K, V)^0$ holds.[3]

By [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)], the map Γ will be C^s if we can show that the map

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \colon (C^{r+s}(V, F) \times C^r(K, V)) \times K \to F, \ \widehat{\Gamma}(\gamma, \eta, x) := \Gamma(\gamma, \eta)(x) = \gamma(\eta(x))$$

is $C^{s,r}$. Now

$$\widehat{\Gamma}(\gamma, \eta, x) = \varepsilon_1(\gamma, \varepsilon(\eta, x)) \tag{9}$$

where $\varepsilon \colon C^r(K, E_2) \times K \to E_2$, $\varepsilon(\eta, x) := \eta(x)$ is $C^{\infty,r}$ and the corresponding evaluation map $\varepsilon_1 \colon C^{r+s}(V, F) \times V \to F$ is $C^{\infty,r+s}$. Applying the Chain Rule

[3] Suppose there is $\eta \in C^r(K, V)^0 \setminus C^r(K, V^0)$; then $\eta(x_0) \in V \setminus V^0$ for some $x_0 \in K$. Now $\eta + c \in C^r(K, V)^0$ for all c in a 0-neighbourhood $U \subseteq E_2$ (identified with the corresponding constant function $x \mapsto c$). Evaluating at x_0, we see that $\eta(x_0) + U \subseteq V$ and hence $\eta(x_0) \in V^0$, contradiction.

in the form [1, Lemma 81] to the right hand side of (9), we see that $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is $C^{\infty,s,r}$ in (γ,η,x) and hence $C^{s,r}$ in $((\gamma,\eta),x)$, by [1, Lemma 77].

Since $\Gamma(\gamma,\eta)$ is a continuous linear function of $\gamma \in C^{r+s}(V,F)$ for fixed $\eta \in C^r(K,V)$, the first partial differential always exists and is given by

$$d_1\Gamma(\gamma,\eta;\gamma_1) = \Gamma(\gamma_1,\eta) = \gamma_1 \circ \eta. \tag{10}$$

If $s \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in C^{r+s}(V,F)$, then

$$\Gamma(\gamma,\bullet) = C^r(K,\gamma)\colon C^r(K,V) \to C^r(K,F), \quad \eta \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

with $dC^r(K,\gamma) = C^r(K,d\gamma)$ (identifying the space $C^r(K,E_2 \times E_2)$ with $C^r(K,E_2) \times C^r(K,E_2)$), see, e.g., [15], cf. also [9]. Hence

$$d\Gamma(\gamma,\eta,\gamma_1,\eta_1) = d_1\Gamma(\gamma,\eta;\gamma_1) + d_2\Gamma(\gamma,\eta;\eta_1) = \gamma_1 \circ \eta + d\gamma \circ (\eta,\eta_1),$$

establishing (8). Since $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ is a submanifold of $C^r(K,E)$, it suffices to show that μ is C^s as a map to $C^r(K,E)$. But this is the restriction of Γ (for $E_2 := F := E$ and $V := K$) to the submanifold $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \times \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$ of $C^{r+s}(K,E) \times C^r(K,E)$ and hence C^s (like Γ). For each $\eta \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, the map $\Gamma(\bullet,\eta)\colon \gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$ is continuous linear and hence smooth, entailing that also $\rho_\eta = \Gamma(\bullet,\eta)|_{\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)}$ is smooth. □

5 Smoothness of inversion and Theorem C

We prove Theorem C. As a byproduct, we obtain continuity and differentiability properties for the inversion map $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, $\phi \mapsto \phi^{-1}$.

Proof of Theorem C. As before, $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K \subseteq E$. We show by induction that g is C^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq r$. Since g is continuous by Lemma 2.2, the case $k = 0$ is settled. Now assume that $k \geq 1$. Since $f(z,\bullet)\colon K^0 \to K^0$ is a C^r-diffeomorphism for each $z \in U^0$, we deduce that

$$\psi\colon U^0 \times K^0 \to U^0 \times K^0, \quad \psi(z,x) := (z, f(z,x))$$

is a bijection. Since $d_2f(z,x;\bullet) = (f_z)'(x) \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$ for each $z \in U^0$ and $x \in K^0$, the Inverse Function Theorem with Parameters (in the form [11, Theorem 2.3 (c)]) shows that ψ is a C^r-diffeomorphism (being bijective and a local C^r-diffeomorphism around each point). Note that

$$d\psi(z,x,z_1,x_1) = (z_1, d_1f(z,x;z_1) + d_2f(z,x;x_1))$$

for all $z \in U^0$, $z_1 \in F$, $x \in K^0$, and $x_1 \in E$ (using the Rule on Partial Differentials). Recalling the familiar identity

$$(\psi^{-1})'(z,y) = (\psi'(\psi^{-1}(z,y)))^{-1},$$

we deduce that

$$(\psi^{-1})'(z,y,z_1,y_1) = (z_1, ((f_z)'(x))^{-1}(y_1 - d_1 f(z,x;z_1))) \tag{11}$$

for all $z \in U^0$, $z_1 \in F$, $y \in K^0$, and $y_1 \in E$, with $x := (f_z)^{-1}(y) = g(z,y)$. Since $\psi^{-1}(z,y) = (z, g(z,y))$, looking at the second component of (11) we see that $g|_{U^0 \times K^0}$ is C^r (like ψ^{-1}), with

$$d(g|_{U^0 \times K^0})(z,y,z_1,y_1) = ((f_z)'(g(z,y)))^{-1}(y_1 - d_1 f(z, g(z,y); z_1)) \tag{12}$$

for all $z \in U^0$, $z_1 \in F$, $y \in K^0$, and $y_1 \in E$. Let $I\colon \mathrm{GL}(E) \to \mathrm{GL}(E)$, $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ be the smooth (and hence continuous) inversion map. The mapping

$$h\colon U \times K \times E \to E, \quad h(z,y,v) := d_2 f(z, g(z,y); v)$$

is continuous and $h_{z,y} := h(z,y,\bullet) = (f_z)'(g(z,y)) \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$ for all $(z,y) \in U \times K$. Hence $h^\vee\colon U \times K \to \mathrm{GL}(E) \subseteq C(E,E)$, $h^\vee(z,y) := h_{z,y}$ is continuous. As a consequence, $I \circ h^\vee\colon U \times K \to \mathrm{GL}(E)$ is continuous, whence also the map

$$\beta := \widehat{I \circ h^\vee}\colon U \times K \times E \to E, \quad (z,y,w) \mapsto h_{z,y}^{-1}(w)$$

is continuous. Note that the right hand side of (12) also makes sense for $z \in U$, $z_1 \in F$, $y \in K$ and $y_1 \in E$, and defines a function $\theta\colon U \times K \times F \times E \to E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(z,y,z_1,y_1) &:= ((f_z)'(g(z,y)))^{-1}(y_1 - d_1 f(z, g(z,y;z_1))) \\ &= \beta(z,y,y_1 - d_1 f(z, g(z,y); z_1)) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which is continuous. As this mapping extends $d(g|_{U^0 \times K^0})$ by (12), we see that g is C^1 with $dg = \theta$. If now $k \geq 2$ and g is C^{k-1} by induction, then h is C^{k-1} and thus β is C^{k-1}, by Lemma 2.3. Hence $dg = \theta$ is C^{k-1} (see (13)) and thus g is C^k, which completes the inductive proof. □

For each $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the evaluation map $C^r(K,E) \times K \to E$ is $C^{\infty,r}$ and hence C^r (see [2, Proposition 3.20 and Lemma 3.15]), whence its restriction

$$f\colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K) \times K \to K, \quad f(\phi, x) := \phi(x)$$

is C^r as well. Since $f(\phi, \bullet) = \phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$, Theorem C shows that the mapping in (1) is C^r. If $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, then the map

$$h\colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \times K \to K, \quad (\phi, y) \mapsto \phi^{-1}(y)$$

is C^{r+s} by the preceding and hence $C^{s,r}$, entailing that

$$h^\vee\colon \mathrm{Diff}^{C^{r+s}}_{\partial K}(K) \to C^r(K, E), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi^{-1}$$

is C^s as a map to $C^r(K, E)$ (by [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]) and hence also as a map to its submanifold $\mathrm{Diff}^{C^r}_{\partial K}(K)$.

6 Regularity

We shall use a standard fact from the theory of ordinary differential equations (a quantitative version of the Picard-Lindelöf Theorem):[4]

Lemma 6.1 *Let E be a Banach space, $R > 0$, $x_0 \in E$ and*

$$f\colon [0,1] \times \overline{B}^E_R(x_0) \to E$$

be a bounded continuous function which satisfies a global Lipschitz condition,

$$L := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \mathrm{Lip}(f(t, \bullet)) < \infty.$$

If $\|f\|_\infty \leq R$ and $L < 1$, then there is a (unique) C^1-function $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \overline{B}^E_R(x_0)$ such that $\gamma'(t) = f(t, \gamma(t))$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $\gamma(0) = x_0$.

Proof. $A := \{\eta \in C([0,1], \overline{B}^E_R(x_0))\colon \eta(0) = x_0\}$ is a closed subset of the Banach space $(C([0,1], E), \|.\|_\infty)$ and

$$\psi\colon A \to A, \quad \psi(\eta)(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, \eta(s))\, ds$$

is a self-map of A. If $L < 1$, then ψ is a contraction, and hence ψ has a unique fixed point γ (by Banach's Fixed Point Theorem), which (by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus) is the unique C^1-solution γ of the initial value problem described in the lemma. □

Let $E := \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K \subseteq E$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior.

[4]The condition $L < 1$ is actually superfluous; one can use the Fixed Point Theorem of Banach-Weissinger [30] instead of the standard Banach Fixed Point Theorem.

Lemma 6.2 *The following map is smooth:*

$$h\colon C([0,1],C^\infty(K,E))\times C([0,1],K)\to C([0,1],E),\quad h(\gamma,\eta)(t):=\gamma(t)(\eta(t)).$$

Proof. If we can show that $\widehat{h}\colon C([0,1],C^\infty(K,E))\times C([0,1],K)\times[0,1]\to E$, $\widehat{h}(\gamma,\eta,t):=h(\gamma,\eta)(t)$ is $C^{\infty,0}$ as a function of $((\gamma,\eta),t)$, then $h=(\widehat{h})^\vee$ will be C^∞ by [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]. We have

$$\widehat{h}(\gamma,\eta,t)=\gamma(t)(\eta(t))=\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2(\gamma,t),\varepsilon_3(\eta,t))$$

where the evaluation maps $\varepsilon_3\colon C([0,1],K)\times[0,1]\to K$ and

$$\varepsilon_2\colon C([0,1],C^\infty(K,E))\times[0,1]\to C^\infty(K,E)$$

are $C^{\infty,0}$ and the evaluation map $\varepsilon_1\colon C^\infty(K,E)\times K\to E$ is $C^{\infty,\infty}$ (see [2, Proposition 3.20]). Now the map

$$C([0,1],C^\infty(K,E))\times C([0,1],K)\times[0,1]\times[0,1]\to E$$

which sends (γ,η,s,t) to $\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2(\gamma,s),\varepsilon_3(\eta,t))$ is $C^{\infty,\infty,0,0}$ by [1, Lemma 81] and thus $C^{\infty,0}$ in $((\gamma,\eta),(s,t))$ (using [1, Lemma 77] twice). Composing with $t\mapsto(t,t)$, we deduce with [2, Lemma 3.17] that $\widehat{h}$ is $C^{\infty,0}$. □

As before, let $E:=\mathbb{R}^n$ and $K\subseteq E$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior. Let $\theta\in\,]0,1/3]$. Since

$$q\colon C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)\to[0,\infty[,\quad \eta\mapsto\sup_{x\in K}\|\eta'(x)\|_{op}=\mathrm{Lip}(\eta)\tag{14}$$

is a continuous seminorm, we deduce that

$$\|.\|_{\infty,q}\colon C([0,1],C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E))\to[0,\infty[,\quad \gamma\mapsto\sup_{t\in[0,1]}q(\gamma(t))$$

is a continuous seminorm on $C([0,1],C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E))$. Hence

$$Q:=\{\gamma\in C([0,1],C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E))\colon\|\gamma\|_{\infty,q}<\theta\}$$

is an open 0-neighbourhood in $C([0,1],C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E))$. If $\gamma\in Q$, then

$$\widehat{\gamma}\colon[0,1]\times K\to E,\quad(t,x)\mapsto\gamma(t)(x)$$

is a $C^{0,\infty}$-map (see [2, Theorem 3.28 (a)]) which satisfies a global Lipschitz condition because

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \operatorname{Lip}(\widehat{\gamma}(t,\bullet)) = \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \operatorname{Lip}(\gamma(t)) \leq \theta < 1. \tag{15}$$

For $x \in K$, let

$$d_{\partial K}(x) := \min_{y\in\partial K} \|y - x\|$$

be the distance between x and ∂K; the minimum is attained as ∂K is a non-empty compact set. If $\eta \in C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, E)$ with $q(\eta) < \theta$ (e.g. $\eta = \gamma(t)$ for some $\gamma \in Q$ and $t \in [0, 1]$), then

$$\|\eta(x)\| \leq \theta d_{\partial K}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in K. \tag{16}$$

To see this, given $x \in K$ pick $y \in \partial K$ such that $\|y - x\| = d_{\partial K}(x)$. Noting that $\eta(y) = 0$ since $\eta \in C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, E)$ and $y \in \partial K$, we deduce that

$$\|\eta(x)\| = \|\eta(x) - \eta(y)\| \leq \operatorname{Lip}(\eta)\|y - x\| \leq \theta\|y - x\| = \theta d_{\partial K}(x).$$

Lemma 6.3 *For each $\gamma \in Q$ and $x_0 \in K$, the initial value problem*

$$y'(t) = \widehat{\gamma}(t, y(t)), \quad y(0) = x_0 \tag{17}$$

has a unique solution $y_{\gamma,x_0}\colon [0, 1] \to K$. The following map is smooth:

$$\phi\colon Q \times K \to C([0, 1], K), \quad (\gamma, x_0) \mapsto y_{\gamma,x_0}.$$

Proof. Since $\widehat{\gamma}$ satisfies a global Lipschitz condition, solutions to the initial value problem (17) are unique whenever they exist. If $x_0 \in \partial K$, then the constant function given by $y_{\gamma,x_0}(t) := x_0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ solves (17). If $x_0 \in K^0$, then

$$R := \frac{1}{2} d_{\partial K}(x_0) > 0.$$

Then $\overline{B}^E_R(x_0) \subseteq K^0$. For each $x \in \overline{B}^E_R(x_0)$, we have $d_{\partial K}(x) \leq d_{\partial K}(x_0) + R = \frac{3}{2} d_{\partial K}(x_0)$ and hence

$$\|\widehat{\gamma}(t, x)\| = \|\gamma(t)(x)\| \leq \theta d_{\partial K}(x) \leq \frac{3}{2}\theta d_{\partial K}(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{\partial K}(x_0) = R,$$

using (16) and the hypothesis that $\theta \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore (17) has a solution

$$y_{\gamma,x_0}\colon [0,1] \to \overline{B}^E_R(x_0) \subseteq K^0 \text{ with } R = \tfrac{1}{2} d_{\partial K}(x_0), \tag{18}$$

by Lemma 6.1. Now consider the map $f\colon (Q\times K)\times C([0,1],K) \to C([0,1],E)$,

$$f(\gamma, x_0, \eta)(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t \widehat{\gamma}(s, \eta(s))\, ds$$

for $t \in [0,1]$. Using the continuous linear operator

$$J\colon C([0,1],E) \to C([0,1],E), \;\; J(\zeta)(t) := \int_0^t \zeta(s)\, ds$$

and the function

$$h\colon Q \times C([0,1],K) \to C([0,1],K), \;\; h(\gamma,\eta)(t) := \widehat{\gamma}(t,\eta(t)) = \gamma(t)(\eta(t))$$

which is smooth by Lemma 6.2, we have

$$f(\gamma, x_0, \eta) = x_0 + J(h(\gamma,\eta)),$$

where also $K \to C([0,1],E)$, $x_0 \mapsto x_0$ (the constant function $t \mapsto x_0$) is smooth as it is the restriction of the continuous linear map $E \to C([0,1],E)$, $x_0 \mapsto x_0$. Hence f is smooth. Moreover, f defines a uniform family of contractions. In fact, writing $f_{\gamma,x_0} := f(\gamma, x_0, \bullet)\colon C([0,1],K) \to C([0,1],E)$ for $\gamma \in Q$ and $x_0 \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\gamma,x_0}(\eta_1)(t) - f_{\gamma,x_0}(\eta)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|\gamma(s)(\eta_1(s)) - \gamma(s)(\eta(s))\|\, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathrm{Lip}(\gamma(s))\|\eta_1(s) - \eta(s)\|\, ds \leq \theta \|\eta_1 - \eta\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta, \eta_1 \in C([0,1],K)$ and $t \in [0,1]$ and hence

$$\|f_{\gamma,x_0}(\eta_1) - f_{\gamma,x_0}(\eta)\|_\infty \leq \theta \|\eta_1 - \eta\|_\infty$$

with $\theta \leq \frac{1}{3} < 1$ independent of (γ, x_0). By construction, $\phi(\gamma, x_0) = y_{\gamma,x_0}$ is the (unique) fixed point of f_{γ,x_0}, for all $(\gamma, x_0) \in Q \times K$. In particular, $y_{\gamma,x_0} \in C([0,1],K^0)$ (see (18)) is the fixed point of $f_{\gamma,x_0}|_{C([0,1],K^0)}$ for $\gamma \in Q$ and $x_0 \in K^0$. Hence, applying Lemma 2.4 (a) to the restriction

$$(Q \times K^0) \times C([0,1],K^0) \to C([0,1],E), \;\; (\gamma, x_0, \eta) \mapsto f(\gamma, x_0, \eta)$$

of f, which is a C^∞-map on an open domain, we find that $\phi|_{Q\times K^0}$ is smooth, and hence continuous. If $\gamma \in Q$ and $x_0 \in \partial K$, we shall presently show that

$$\|\phi(\gamma_1, x_1) - \phi(\gamma, x_0)\|_\infty \leq \frac{3}{2}\|x_1 - x_0\| \tag{19}$$

for all $x_1 \in K$ and $\gamma_1 \in Q$. Thus ϕ will be continuous at (γ, x_0) also in this case. Hence ϕ will be continuous and so ϕ will be smooth, by Lemma 2.4 (b). To establish (19), assume $x_1 \in \partial K$ first. Then $\phi(\gamma_1, x_1)(t) = x_1$ for all t and since also $\phi(\gamma, x_0)(t) = x_0$ for all t, we obtain

$$\|\phi(\gamma_1, x_1) - \phi(\gamma, x_0)\|_\infty = \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|x_1 - x_0\|.$$

It remains to consider the case $x_1 \in K^0$. Then $\phi(\gamma_1, x_1)(t) \in \overline{B}_R^E(x_1)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ with $R := \frac{1}{2}d_{\partial K}(x_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x_1 - x_0\|$ (see (18)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi(\gamma_1, x_1)(t) - \phi(\gamma, x_0)(t)\| &= \|\phi(\gamma_1, x_1)(t) - x_0\| \\ &\leq \|\phi(\gamma_1, x_1)(t) - x_1\| + \|x_1 - x_0\| \\ &\leq R + \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|x_1 - x_0\|\end{aligned}$$

also in this case. □

Lemma 6.4 *In the situation of Lemma* 6.3*, also* $\phi\colon Q \times K \to C^1([0,1], E)$*,* $(\gamma, x_0) \mapsto y_{\gamma,x_0}$ *is smooth.*

Proof. The map $\Lambda\colon C^1([0,1], E) \to C([0,1], E) \times C([0,1], E)$, $\eta \mapsto (\eta, \eta')$ is a linear topological embedding with closed image [2, Lemma 2.7]. It therefore suffices to show that both components of $\Lambda \circ \phi$ are smooth (see [15]; cf. [4, Lemma 10.1 and 10.2]). The first of these is ϕ as a map to $C([0,1], E)$ and hence smooth, by Lemma 6.3. The second component is the map

$$Q \times K \to C([0,1], E), \quad (\gamma, x_0) \mapsto (y_{\gamma,x_0})', \quad \text{where}$$

$$(\forall t \in [0,1]) \quad (y_{\gamma,x_0})'(t) = \widehat{\gamma}(t, y_{\gamma,x_0}(t)) = \widehat{\gamma}(t, \phi(\gamma, x_0)(t))$$

and thus $(y_{\gamma,x_0})' = h(\gamma, \phi(\gamma, x_0))$ with h as Lemma 6.2, which is a smooth $C([0,1], E)$-valued function of $(\gamma, x_0) \in Q \times K$ by smoothness of h and smoothness of ϕ as a map to $C([0,1], K)$. □

Recall that $G := \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is an open subset of the closed affine subspace $\mathrm{id}_K + C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, E)$ of $C^\infty(K, E)$ and hence a smooth submanifold of $C^\infty(K, E)$

modelled on $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$. Identifying the tangent bundle of the locally convex space $C^\infty(K,E)$ with $C^\infty(K,E)\times C^\infty(K,E)$ in the usual way, we also obtain an identification

$$T\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) = \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \times C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E).$$

This enables $L(G) = T_{\mathrm{id}_K}(\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)) = \{\mathrm{id}_K\} \times C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$ to be identified with $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$ (forgetting the first component).

Lemma 6.5 *If $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to L(G) = C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$ is a continuous curve and $\eta\colon [0,1] \to G$ a C^1-curve[5] with $\eta(0) = \mathrm{id}_K$, then $\eta = \operatorname{Evol}^r(\gamma)$ if and only if η is the flow of the time-dependent vector field γ for initial time $t_0 = 0$, i.e.,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\eta(t)(x)) = \gamma(t)(\eta(t)(x)) \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0,1]$$

and $\eta(0)(x) = x$.

Proof. For each $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$, the right translation

$$r_\psi\colon C^\infty(K,E) \to C^\infty(K,E), \quad \zeta \mapsto \zeta \circ \psi$$

is a continuous linear map (by smoothness of Γ in Lemma 4.1), entailing that

$$T(r_\psi)(\zeta,\theta) = (r_\psi(\zeta), r_\psi(\theta))$$

for all $\zeta, \theta \in C^\infty(K,E)$. As a consequence, the tangent map of the restriction

$$\rho_\psi\colon \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \to \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K), \quad \xi \mapsto \xi \circ \psi$$

to the submanifold $\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is given by

$$T\rho_\psi(\xi,\theta) = (\rho_\psi(\xi), r_\psi(\theta)) = (\xi\circ\psi, \theta\circ\psi)$$

for all $\xi \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ and $\theta \in C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$. Hence, if γ and η are as described in the lemma, then $\eta = \operatorname{Evol}^r(\gamma)$ if and only if

$$\eta'(t) = \gamma(t) \circ \eta(t) \tag{20}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$, where $\eta'(t) \in C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E) \subseteq C^\infty(K,E)$ is the derivative of η as a map to the locally convex space $C^\infty(K,E)$. Applying the continuous

[5] Since G is a submanifold, this is simply a C^1-curve to $C^\infty(K,E)$ with image in G.

linear point evaluations $\varepsilon_x\colon C^\infty(K,E) \to E$, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta(x)$ for $x \in K$, which separate points on $C^\infty(K,E)$, we see that (20) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\eta(t)(x)) = \gamma(t)(\eta(t)(x))$$

for all $x \in K$, i.e., $t \mapsto \eta(t)(x)$ is the solution $y_{\gamma,x}$ of the initial value problem $y'(t) = \gamma(t)(y(t))$, $y(0) = x$. □

Proposition 6.6 *The Lie group* $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ *is* C^0*-regular, for each compact convex subset* $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ *with non-empty interior.*

Proof. Let $E := \mathbb{R}^n$. Let Q and $\phi\colon Q \times K \to C^1([0,1],E)$ be as in Lemma 6.4. Since ϕ is smooth,

$$\widehat{\phi}\colon (Q \times K) \times [0,1] \to E, \quad (\gamma, x, t) \mapsto \phi(\gamma, x)(t)$$

is $C^{\infty,1}$ (see [2, Theorem 3.28 (a)]) and thus $C^{\infty,\infty,1}$ as a map on the threefold product $Q \times K \times [0,1]$ (see [1, Lemma 81]). Therefore

$$\psi\colon Q \times [0,1] \times K \to E, \quad \psi(\gamma, t, x) := \widehat{\phi}(\gamma, x, t)$$

is $C^{\infty,1,\infty}$ and thus

$$\psi^\vee\colon Q \times [0,1] \to C^\infty(K,E), \quad \psi^\vee(\gamma,t)(x) := \psi(\gamma,t,x)$$

is $C^{\infty,1}$ (see [1, Theorem 94]). We have $\psi^\vee(\gamma,t)(x) = \phi(\gamma,x)(t) = y_{\gamma,x}(t)$ for all $\gamma \in Q$, $x \in K$ and $t \in [0,1]$, whence $\psi^\vee(\gamma,t)(x) = x$ whenever $x \in \partial K$. We can therefore consider $\psi^\vee$ as a continuous map to the affine vector subspace $\mathrm{id}_K + C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$, in which $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is a neighbourhood of id_K. Since $\psi^\vee(0,t)(x) = y_{0,x}(t) = x$, we have $\psi^\vee(0,t) = \mathrm{id}_K$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Using the Wallace Lemma [17, 5.12], we find an open 0-neighbourhood $P \subseteq Q$ such that

$$\psi^\vee(P \times [0,1]) \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K).$$

For fixed $\gamma \in P$, the map $\psi^\vee(\gamma, \bullet)\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is C^1 and $\psi^\vee(\gamma,t)(x) = y_{\gamma,x}(t)$ for all $x \in K$ and $t \in [0,1]$, whence

$$\psi^\vee(\gamma, \bullet) = \mathrm{Evol}^r(\gamma)$$

by Lemma 6.5. Thus $\mathrm{Evol}^r\colon P \to C^1([0,1],G)$ exists. If we can show that

$$\mathrm{evol}^r\colon P \to G, \quad \mathrm{evol}^r(\gamma) := \mathrm{Evol}^r(\gamma)(1) \tag{21}$$

is smooth, then G will be C^0-regular by [24, Lemma 9.5]. Since $G = \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is a smooth submanifold of $C^\infty(K,E)$, the map evol^r from (21) will be smooth as a map to G if we can show that it is smooth as a map to $C^\infty(K,E)$. Now, because $\psi^\vee$ is $C^{\infty,1}$, the map

$$g := (\psi^\vee)^\vee\colon Q \to C^1([0,1],C^\infty(K,E)), \;\; g(\gamma)(t) := (\psi^\vee)(\gamma,t)$$

is C^∞ by [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]. Using that the point evaluation $\varepsilon_1\colon C^1([0,1],C^\infty(K,E)) \to C^\infty(K,E)$, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta(1)$ is continuous linear and hence smooth, we deduce that also

$$\varepsilon_1 \circ g\colon Q \to C^\infty(K,E), \quad \gamma \mapsto g(\gamma)(1)$$

is smooth. But $g(\gamma)(1)(x) = \psi^\vee(\gamma,1)(x) = \mathrm{Evol}^r(\gamma)(1)(x) = \mathrm{evol}^r(\gamma)(x)$ for all $x \in K$ and thus $g(\gamma)(1) = \mathrm{evol}^r(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in P$. Thus $\mathrm{evol}^r|_P$ is C^∞. □

7 Consequences for initial value problems on compact convex sets

We start with some preparatory considerations.

Let $E := \mathbb{R}^n$, $K \subseteq E$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior, $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate interval, $t_0 \in J$ and $f\colon J \times K \to E$ be a $C^{0,\infty}$-map such that $f(t,x) = 0$ for all $t \in J$ and $x \in \partial K$.

7.1 For each compact subinterval $C \subseteq J$, we have that

$$\sup_{t\in C} \mathrm{Lip}(f(t,\bullet)) = \sup_{t\in C} q(f^\vee(t)) < \infty,$$

with q as in (14). Hence f satisfies a local Lipschitz condition and hence solutions to $y'(t) = f(t,y(t))$, $y(t_0) = x_0$ are unique on their interval of definition (if they exist), for all $t_0 \in J$ and $x_0 \in K$. As a consequence, there is a unique maximal solution $y_{t_0,x_0}\colon J_{t_0,x_0} \to K$ to the preceding initial value problem, such that all other solutions are restrictions of y_{t_0,x_0} to subintervals of J_{t_0,y_0}.

7.2 For fixed $t_0, t \in J$, the map

$$g\colon [0,1] \times K \to E, \quad (\tau, x) \mapsto (t - t_0) f(t_0 + \tau(t - t_0), x)$$

is $C^{0,\infty}$ and hence $g^\vee\colon [0,1] \to C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E) \subseteq C^\infty(K,E)$ is continuous by [2, Theorem 3.25 (a)]. Thus $\mathrm{Evol}^r(g^\vee)\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is C^1 and we know that, for $x_0 \in K$,

$$[0,1] \to K, \ \ \tau \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}^r(g^\vee)(\tau)(x_0)$$

is the solution to $y'(\tau) = g(\tau, y(\tau))$, $y(0) = x_0$. If $t - t_0 \neq 0$, using the Chain Rule this implies that

$$s \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}^r(g^\vee)\left(\frac{s - t_0}{t - t_0}\right)(x_0) \tag{22}$$

(for s in the interval I between t_0 and t) solves $y'(s) = f(s, y(s))$, $y(t_0) = x_0$. Thus I is contained in the domain of definition J_{t_0,x_0} of the maximal solution y_{t_0,x_0} of the latter initial value problem, and $y_{t_0,x_0}(s)$ is given by (22) for all $s \in I$. As t was arbitrary, we deduce that y_{t_0,x_0} is defined on all of J. For later use, let us take $s := t$ in (22); we obtain

$$y_{t_0,x_0}(t) = \mathrm{Evol}^r(g^\vee)(1)(x_0) = \mathrm{evol}^r(g^\vee)(x_0). \tag{23}$$

Proposition 7.3 *Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate interval, $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior and $P \subseteq F$ be a locally convex subset with dense interior in a locally convex space F. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and*

$$f\colon P \times J \times K \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

be a $C^{r,s,\infty}$-map such that $f(p,t,x) = 0$ for all $p \in P$, $t \in J$ and $x \in \partial K$. Then the initial value problem

$$y'(t) = f(p, t, y(t)), \quad y(t_0) = x_0$$

has a unique solution $y_{p,t_0,x_0}\colon J \to K$ defined on all of J, for all $p \in P$, $t_0 \in J$, and $x_0 \in K$. The associated flow

$$\Phi\colon P \times (J \times J) \times K \to K, \quad (p, t_0, t, x_0) \mapsto y_{p,t_0,x_0}(t)$$

is $C^{r,s,\infty}$.

Proof. The uniqueness assertion was settled in 7.1 and existence in 7.2. We now use that the mapping $h\colon P \times (J \times J) \times [0,1] \times K \to \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$h(p, t_0, t, \tau, x) := (t - t_0) f(p, t_0 + \tau(t - t_0), x)$$

is $C^{r,s,0,\infty}$, whence

$$h^\vee\colon P \times (J \times J) \times [0,1] \to C^\infty(K, \mathbb{R}^n), \quad h^\vee(p, t_0, t, \tau)(x) := h(p, t_0, t, \tau, x)$$

is $C^{r,s,0}$, by [1, Theorem 94]. Then $h^\vee$ is also $C^{r,s,0}$ as a map to the closed vector subspace $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of $C^\infty(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Using [1, Theorem 94] again, we see that

$$(h^\vee)^\vee\colon P \times (J \times J) \to C([0,1], C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (h^\vee)^\vee(p, t_0, t)(\tau) := h^\vee(p, t_0, t, \tau)$$

is $C^{r,s}$. Hence also

$$g := \mathrm{evol}^r \circ (h^\vee)^\vee\colon P \times (J \times J) \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \subseteq C^\infty(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is $C^{r,s}$, where $\mathrm{evol}^r\colon C([0,1], C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, \mathbb{R}^n)) \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ is the right evolution map which is smooth by C^0-regularity of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$. Hence

$$\widehat{g}\colon P \times (J \times J) \times K \to K, \quad \widehat{g}(p, t_0, t, x_0) := g(p, t_0, t)(x_0)$$

is $C^{r,s,\infty}$ (see [1, Theorem 96]). Since $\Phi = \widehat{g}$ (cf. (23)), the proposition is established. □

Theorem B is a special case: If f is C^∞ and thus $C^{\infty,\infty,\infty}$ in the preceding proposition, then Φ is $C^{\infty,\infty,\infty}$ and hence C^∞ (by [1, Remark 79]).

8 The Lie group $\mathbf{Diff}_{\mathbf{flat}}(K)$

Let $K \subseteq E = \mathbb{R}^n$ as before. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k(E)$ be the finite-dimensional vector space of all homogeneous polynomials $p\colon E \to E$ of order k and

$$P_{\le k}(E)_0 \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} P_j(E)$$

be the finite-dimensional vector space of all polynomial functions $p\colon E \to E$ of degree $\le k$ such that $p(0) = 0$. Then $P_1(E) = \mathcal{L}(E)$ is the space of linear

endomorphisms of E. Given $p, q \in P_{\leq k}(E)_0$, let $p \diamond_k q$ be the kth order Taylor polynomial of $p \circ q$. Thus, if $p \circ q = \sum_{j=1}^{k^2} h_j$ with homogeneous polynomials $h_j \colon E \to E$ of order j, then $p \diamond_k q$ is given by the truncated composition

$$p \diamond_k q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_j.$$

It is clear that the map $\diamond_k$ is smooth and that $(P_{\leq k}(E)_0, \diamond_k)$ is a monoid with id_E as the neutral element and open unit group

$$P_{\leq k}(E)_0^\times = \mathrm{GL}(E) \times \bigoplus_{j=2}^{k} P_j(E).$$

Also the inversion map is smooth as it takes p to the kth order Taylor polynomial of $p|_U^{-1}$ at 0 (for some open 0-neighbourhood $U \subseteq E$), which depends smoothly on p (e.g., by the Inverse Function Theorem with Parameters in [11]). Thus $P_{\leq k}(E)_0^\times$ is a (finite-dimensional) smooth Lie group. Note that each $x_0 \in \partial K$ is a fixed point for each $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$, and the map

$$f_{x_0,k} \colon \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \to P_{\leq k}(E)_0^\times$$

which takes ϕ to the kth order Taylor polynomial of $\phi - x_0$ around x_0 is a smooth group homomorphism, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{O} \colon \partial K \to \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ be a function and

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$$

be the group of all $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ such that $f_{x_0,k}(\phi) = \mathrm{id}_E$ for all $x_0 \in \partial K$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq \mathcal{O}(x_0)$ (if $\mathcal{O}(x_0) = 0$, then the condition is vacuous). Then $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ is a closed normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$. Taking $\mathcal{O}(x_0) := \infty$ for each $x_0 \in \partial K$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{flat}}(K) := \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$$

as a special case. Returning to general $\mathcal{O}$, it is clear that

$$C^\infty_{\mathcal{O}}(K, E) := \{\eta \in C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, E) \colon (\forall x_0 \in \partial K)(\forall \mathbb{N}_0 \ni k \leq \mathcal{O}(x_0)) \;\; \eta^{(k)}(x_0) = 0\}$$

is a closed vector subspace of $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K, E)$.

Proposition 8.1 *For each compact convex subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n =: E$ with non-empty interior and each $\mathcal{O}\colon \partial K \to \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ is a submanifold of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$ modelled on $C^\infty_{\mathcal{O}}(K,E)$ and hence a Lie group. The Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ is C^0-regular.*

Proof. The chart $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \to \Omega \subseteq C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$, $\phi \mapsto \phi - \mathrm{id}_K$ takes $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ onto $\Omega \cap C^\infty_{\mathcal{O}}(K,E)$, whence $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ is a submanifold modelled on the closed vector subspace $C^\infty_{\mathcal{O}}(K,E)$ of $C^\infty_{\partial K}(K,E)$. Let $g_{x_0,k}\colon \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K) \to P_{\leq k}(E)_0^\times$ be the trivial homomorphism taking each ϕ to the neutral element id_E. Then the Lie subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ coincides with the equalizer

$$\{\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)\colon (\forall x_0 \in \partial K)(\forall \mathbb{N} \ni k \leq \mathcal{O}(x_0))\ \ f_{x_0,k}(\phi) = g_{x_0,k}(\phi)\}$$

of the given pairs $(f_{x_0,k}, g_{x_0,k})$ of smooth homomorphisms of Lie groups. Hence $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ inherits the C^0-regularity from the ambient C^0-regular Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial K}(K)$, by [13, Theorem G]. □

A Proof of a folklore lemma

Proof of Lemma 2.1. (a) If $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ is a net in $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ which converges to some $(x,y) \in X \times K$, then the net of the $y_\alpha = f(x_\alpha)$ converges to $f(x)$, by continuity of f. Since also $y_\alpha \to y$ and limits in Hausdorff spaces are unique, we obtain $(x,y) = (x, f(x)) \in \mathrm{graph}(f)$.

(b) We show that if f is not continuous, then $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ is not closed. Now, if f is not continuous, then f fails to be continuous at some $x \in X$. Hence, there is an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq K$ of $f(x)$ such that $f^{-1}(V)$ is not a neighbourhood of x in X. Thus $U \setminus f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ for each neighbourhood U of x in X. Pick $x_U \in U \setminus f^{-1}(V)$. Since $f(x_U) \in K \setminus V$ and $K \setminus V$ is compact, there is a convergent subnet $(f(x_{U(\alpha)}))_{\alpha\in A}$ (indexed by some directed set $(A, \leq)$). Let $y \in K \setminus V$ be its limit. Then $(x_{U(\alpha)}, f(x_{U(\alpha)}))_{\alpha\in A}$ is a net in $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ which converges to (x,y). We have $y \neq f(x)$ (since $y \in K \setminus V$ but $f(x) \in V$) and hence $(x,y) \notin \mathrm{graph}(f)$. Thus $\mathrm{graph}(f)$ is not closed. □

References

[1] Alzaareer, H., "Lie Groups of Mappings on Non-Compact Spaces and Manifolds," Doctoral Dissertation, Universität Paderborn, 2013; see `http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:2-11572`.

[2] Alzaareer, H. and A. Schmeding, *Differentiable mappings on products with different degrees of differentiability in the two factors*, Expo. Math. **33** (2015), 184–222.

[3] Bastiani, A., *Applications différentiables et variétés différentiables de dimension infinie*, J. Anal. Math. **13** (1964), 1–114.

[4] Bertram, W., H. Glöckner, and K.-H. Neeb, *Differential calculus over general base fields and rings*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), 213–282.

[5] Dahmen, R., *Regularity in Milnor's sense for ascending unions of Banach-Lie groups*, J. Lie Theory **24** (2014), no. 2, 545–560.

[6] Dahmen, R. and A. Schmeding, *The Lie group of real analytic diffeomorphisms is not real analytic*, Stud. Math. **229** (2015), no. 2, 141–172.

[7] Eyni, J. M., *A Lie group structure on the group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a compact real analytic manifold with corners*, preprint, `arXiv:1512.01506v2`.

[8] Glöckner, H., *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*, pp. 43–59 in: Strasburger, A. et al. (Eds.), "Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," Banach Center Publications **55**, Warsaw, 2002.

[9] Glöckner, H., *Lie group structures on quotient groups and universal complexifications for infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **194** (2002), no. 2, 347–409.

[10] Glöckner, H., $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *as a Milnor-Lie group*, Math. Nachr. **278** (2005), no. 9, 1025–1032.

[11] Glöckner, H., *Implicit functions from topological vector spaces to Banach spaces*, Isr. J. Math. **155** (2006), 205–252.

[12] Glöckner, H., *Implicit functions from topological vector spaces to Fréchet spaces in the presence of metric estimates*, preprint, `arXiv:math/0612673v5`.

[13] Glöckner, H., *Regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, and semiregularity*, preprint, `arXiv:1208.0715v5`.

[14] Glöckner, H. and K.-H. Neeb, *When unit groups of continuous inverse algebras are regular Lie groups*, Stud. Math. **211** (2012), no. 2, 95–109.

[15] Glöckner, H. and K.-H. Neeb, "Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," book in preparation.

[16] Hamilton, R. S., *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 65–222.

[17] Kelley, L., "General Topology", Springer, New York, 1975.

[18] Kriegl, A. and P. W. Michor, "The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis," AMS, Providence, 1997.

[19] Kriegl, A., P. W. Michor, and A. Rainer, *An exotic zoo of diffeomorphism groups on* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **47** (2015), no. 2, 179–222.

[20] Leslie, J. A., *On a differential structure for the group of diffeomorphisms*, Topology **6** (1967), no. 2, 263–271.

[21] Michor, P. W., "Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings," Shiva Publ., Orpington, 1980.

[22] Milnor, J., *Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, pp. 1007–1057 in: B. S. DeWitt and R. Stora (eds.), "Relativité, groupes et topologie II," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[23] Neeb, K.-H., *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*, Jpn. J. Math. **1** (2006), 291–468.

[24] Neeb, K.-H. and H. Salmasian, *Differentiable vectors and unitary representations of Fréchet-Lie supergroups*, Math. Z. **275** (2013) no. 1–2, 419–451.

[25] Nikitin, N., *Exponential laws for weighted function spaces and regularity of weighted mapping groups*, preprint, `arXiv:1512.07211v1`.

[26] Omori, H., "Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," Translations of Mathematical Monographs **158**, AMS, 1997.

[27] Pressley, A. and G. Segal, "Loop Groups," Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

[28] Schmeding, A., *The diffeomorphism group of a non-compact orbifold*, Diss. Math. **507**, 2015.

[29] Walter, B., *Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Banach spaces and weighted mapping groups*, Diss. Math. **484** (2012), 126 p.

[30] Weissinger, J., *Zur Theorie und Anwendung des Iterationsverfahrens*, Math. Nachr. **8** (1952), 193–212.

Helge Glöckner, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn,
Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany; `glockner@math.upb.de`

Karl-Hermann Neeb, Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg,
Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany; `neeb@math.fau.de`